IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

| MARION LAMONT | SHERROD, |) | |
|---------------|--------------------|---|-----------|
| | Plaintiff, pro se, |) | |
| | V. |) | 1 0 5 0 0 |
| LIEUTENANT R. | B. KING, |) | 1:07CV28 |
| | Defendant. |) | |

ORDER

On September 23, 2010, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the Recommendation of the United States Magistrate

Judge (Doc. 81) was filed, and notice was served on the parties in this action, and a copy was given to the court.

Within the time limitation set forth in the statute,

Plaintiff objected¹ to the Recommendation. In addition to the

Recommendation, Plaintiff has objected to the Order of the

Magistrate Judge denying various non-dispositive motions. Those

rulings and objections are reviewed under a clearly erroneous on

¹ The court notes that Plaintiff has filed what he calls a Motion to Relate [Doc. 84]. While it is not clear, apparently the motion references a tort claim which Plaintiff has pending before a state agency or in the state courts. Be that as it may, a state tort claim is a much different proceeding from this Section 1983 case premised on a constitutional violation. The court is convinced, after a de novo review, that Plaintiff has no constitutional claim on these alleged circumstances. Therefore, to the extent that the motion to relate requires any action by the court, the motion is **DENIED**.

contrary to law standard. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a).

The court has appropriately reviewed the portions of the Magistrate Judge's report to which objection was made and has made a de novo determination which is in accord with the Magistrate Judge's report. The court therefore adopts the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion for summary judgment [Doc. 43] is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order [Doc. 64] is DENIED, and the Order of the Magistrate Judge denying Plaintiff's non-dispositive motions is ADOPTED and AFFIRMED. A judgment will be entered contemporaneously with this Order.

William L. Oshur, M. United States District Judge

January 18, 2011